

## The Relative Clause Problem

In a series of papers, Comrie (1996, 1998, 2010) has argued for the existence of an “Asian-type” (1996), “verb-marked”, or “Japanese” complex NP pattern which is fundamentally different from the complex NP structures found in English. Comrie’s claim has two parts.

- **(I) There is just a single noun-modifying (complex NP) construction.**
- **(II) There is no extraction in relative clauses.**

Claims (I) and (II) have been particularly influential in the functional-typological literature. But claim (II) has also found some purchase in formal syntactic work on Japanese. The basis for (I) is the surface identity between the following three patterns in Japanese and some other languages within the purview of this conference (e.g. Korean):

(1) **Relative clauses**

[[Taroo ga Ø yaku] sakana]  
Taroo nom broil fish  
'the fish that Taroo broils'

(2) **Propositional attitude noun complements**

[[Taroo ga sakana o yaku] zizitu]  
Taroo nom fish acc broil fact  
'the fact that Taroo broils fish'

(3) **Perception noun complements**

[[Taroo ga sakana o yaku] nioi]  
Taroo nom fish acc broil smell  
'the smell of Taroo broiling fish'

The basis for (II) is data like (4):

4. **Complex NP Constraint violation** (Kuno 1973)

[[Ø kawaiatte ita inu] ga sinde simatta] onna no ko  
doting.on was dog nom die end.up girl  
\*'the girl that the dog [e] was doting on died'

In this paper I will argue that

- **(II) is wrong**  
There is extraction in Japanese relative clauses.
- **(I) is wrong**  
There are structural differences between different types of Japanese complex NP.

The argument regarding (II) is based on data first noted by Inoue (1976) and analyzed by Sakai (1994); cf. Kim & Sells 2009 for a parallel argument regarding Korean. The argument regarding (I) is based on a number of structural differences between the Complex NP patterns in (1-3). These differences show that, in Japanese as in other languages, Complex NPs break down into a type where the clausal constituent is a predicate of the head (1), and

a type where the clause is a complement of the head (2, 3).

### References

- Comrie, Bernard. 1996. The unity of noun modifying clauses in Asian languages. *Pan-Asiatic Linguistics: Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Languages and Linguistics*, January 8-10, 1996, Volume 3, 1077–1088.
- Comrie, Bernard. 1998. Rethinking the typology of relative clauses. *Language design* 1: 59-86.
- Comrie, Bernard. 2010. Japanese and the other languages of the world. *NINJAL project review* 1: 29-45.
- Inoue, Kazuko. 1976. *Henkei bunpō to Nihongo*. Tokyo: Taishukan.
- Kim, Shin-Sook and Sells, Peter. 2009. Some Notes on NMCs in Korean (and Japanese). Ms, University of London.
- Sakai, Hiromu. 1994. Complex NP Constraint and case-conversions in Japanese. In Nakamura, Masaru (ed.) *Current topics in English and Japanese.*, 179-203. Tokyo: Kuroshio.