



## Evaluation of the Master's work

**Date :**

**Student :**

**Research group :**

**Jurors :**

APPRECIATIONS	VERY GOOD	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Practical training				
Personal commitment				
Practical skills				
Autonomy				
Understanding of the techniques/procedures				
Scientific rigor				
Respect for lab rules and interaction with colleagues				

Written report	
Writing of the report	
Structure and logic	
Context and introduction	
Materials and methods	
Presentation of results / quality of figures & legends	
Interpretation and discussion	
Bibliography	

OBSERVATIONS :

**Evaluation :**

**Pass**

**Fail**

**Signatures**

Practical training :

Written report :

Date :

Student :

Jurors :

APPRECIATIONS	VERY GOOD	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Oral defense				
Oral and non-verbal expression				
Quality of the slides				
Structure and logic				
Understanding of the subject				
Respect of time				
Answers to questions				

OBSERVATIONS :

Evaluation :

Pass

Fail

Signatures

Oral defense :

**Overall grade:**

**Note to be sent before 15 September**

## Criteria

### Practical training

<b>Personnal commitment</b>	Commitment during the internship, motivation, curiosity...
<b>Practical skills</b>	Skills in manipulations in the lab, in the field, etc...
<b>Autonomy</b>	Personal research of bibliography, realization of tasks in autonomy...
<b>Understanding of the techniques/procedures</b>	Ability to understand why and how such experiments are conducted
<b>Scientific rigor</b>	Keeping of laboratory notebook/scripts, ability to follow a protocol rigorously...

### Written report (50 pages average, appendices will not be part of the evaluated report)

<b>Report writing</b>	Syntax, spelling, fluency and quality of language (French or English)
<b>Structure and logic</b>	Structure of the presentation, logic and sequence within the text
<b>Context &amp; introduction</b>	Context of the work, introduction to the studied topic.
<b>Material &amp; methods</b>	Presentation of the methods and analyses used during the work
<b>Analysis of the results</b>	Quality of the presentation of the results, figures (up to 6) and legends...
<b>Interpretation &amp; discussion</b>	Understanding of the results, ability to synthesize the results, answers to the initial hypotheses, perspectives...
<b>Bibliography</b>	Citation of sources and relevance of bibliography

### Oral defense (35 to 45 min)

<b>Oral &amp; non-verbal expression</b>	Fluidity and quality of language, posture, not reading notes, looking at the audience...
<b>Quality of the slides</b>	Clear layout, text, figures, indication of sources
<b>Structure &amp; logic</b>	Structure of the presentation, logic and sequence of the speech
<b>Understanding of the subject</b>	Ability to understand the subject and the implications
<b>Respect of the time limit</b>	Between 35 and 45 minutes
<b>Answers to questions</b>	Clear answers indicating that the student has understood what he/she did during the internship